Research

Open Access

Rb induces a proliferative arrest and curtails *Brn-2* expression in retinoblastoma cells

David Cobrinik^{*1,2}, Richard O Francis³, David H Abramson^{2,4} and Thomas C Lee^{1,2,5}

Address: ¹Margaret M. Dyson Vision Research Institute, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10021, USA, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10021, USA, ³Department of Pathology, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA, ⁴Ophthalmic Oncology Service, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10021, USA and ⁵Division of Ophthalmology, Department of Surgery, Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Email: David Cobrinik* - dec2014@med.cornell.edu; Richard O Francis - rof3@columbia.edu; David H Abramson - Abramsod@mskcc.org; Thomas C Lee - tcl2002@med.cornell.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 12 December 2006

Molecular Cancer 2006, 5:72 doi:10.1186/1476-4598-5-72

This article is available from: http://www.molecular-cancer.com/content/5/1/72

© 2006 Cobrinik et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 20 July 2006 Accepted: 12 December 2006

Abstract

Background: Retinoblastoma is caused by loss of the Rb protein in early retinal cells. Although numerous Rb functions have been identified, Rb effects that specifically relate to the suppression of retinoblastoma have not been defined.

Results: In this study, we examined the effects of restoring Rb to Y79 retinoblastoma cells, using novel retroviral and lentiviral vectors that co-express green fluorescent protein (GFP). The lentiviral vector permitted transduction with sufficient efficiency to perform biochemical analyses. Wild type Rb (Rb^{WT}) and to a lesser extent the low penetrance mutant Rb^{661W} induced a G0/G1 arrest associated with induction of $p27^{KIP1}$ and repression of *cyclin E1* and *cyclin E2*. Microarray analyses revealed that in addition to down-regulating E2F-responsive genes, Rb repressed expression of *Brn-2* (*POU3F2*), which is implicated as an important transcriptional regulator in retinal progenitor cells and other neuroendocrine cell types. The repression of *Brn-2* was a specific Rb effect, as ectopic p27 induced a G0/G1 block, but enhanced, rather than repressed, *Brn-2* expression.

Conclusion: In addition to Rb effects that occur in many cell types, Rb regulates a gene that selectively governs the behavior of late retinal progenitors and related cells.

Background

Retinoblastomas form due to the inactivation of the *RB1* gene together with other genetic changes [1]. Whereas *RB1* is also inactivated in other tumors, the cells that give rise to retinoblastoma are exceptionally sensitive to Rb loss. Individuals with bilateral retinoblastoma (and presumed germ line *RB1* mutations) develop an average of five retinoblastoma foci, generally within their first 2 years [2,3],

but have only ~1% per year likelihood of developing all other tumor types [4]. Moreover, retinoblastoma is largely a human-specific disease. Tumors with histopathological features of retinoblastoma, including photoreceptor but not amacrine differentiation, have been reported for only two individual animals [5,6] and appear not to form in response to the loss of Rb family proteins in mice [7-10]. Rb has numerous functions that might mediate the suppression of retinoblastoma [11]. Studies in non-retinal cells have shown that Rb can inhibit cell cycle progression through regulation of E2F1 and p27Kip1 [12-14], and may induce a senescence-like response through E2F-dependent or E2F-independent mechanisms [15-17]. In addition, Rb promotes differentiation through interactions with several widely expressed proteins [18,19], and may both promote differentiation and suppress tumorigenesis by inhibiting Ras [20]. Besides these general effects, Rb promotes osteogenic, adipogenic, thyroid, and melanocytic differentiation through interactions that are specific to the relevant cell types [21-26]. Thus, to understand how Rb suppresses retinoblastoma, it may be necessary to identify the cell type-specific functions of Rb in the cells that give rise to retinoblastoma tumors.

At present, the cell type that gives rise to retinoblastoma has not been identified. It was proposed that retinoblastomas arise from a primitive neuroectodermal cell [27], such as a retinal progenitor cell (RPC) or a transition cell that fails to arrest in Rb's absence during early differentiation [28]. More recent evidence suggests a possible origin from post-mitotic cone precursors [29]. However, defining Rb's tumor suppressor role in any of these cell types is problematic, as human retinal cells are poorly suited to growth and manipulation in vitro, and as Rb's tumor suppressor role may not be replicated in vivo, in mouse models. As an alternative to working with the retinoblastoma cell of origin, insight into Rb's role may be gained by examining the effects of restoring Rb to retinoblastoma cells. While signaling in such cells may differ to some extent from that in the cell of origin, cell type-specific retinoblastoma suppressor functions of Rb seem more likely to be manifested in retinoblastoma cells than in other available cell types.

The effect of restoring Rb to retinoblastoma cells was first examined soon after the *RB1* gene was cloned. When Rb was restored using Murine leukemia virus (MLV)-based retroviral vectors, transduced cells were analyzed after >4 weeks of selection and displayed either modestly diminished proliferation [30,31] or no obvious change in proliferative rate [32-35]. In contrast, when Rb was restored by transfer of chromosome 13 (on which *RB1* resides), proliferation was clearly impaired [36]. This suggested that expression of Rb under its normal regulatory sequences conferred a stronger antiproliferative effect than was conferred with MLV-based vectors. However, chromosome transfer is too inefficient to be used to define Rb's acute effects, and cannot be used to compare effects of wild type and mutant Rb proteins.

To define the effects of restoring Rb to retinoblastoma cells, we developed retroviral and lentiviral vectors that

co-express Rb and enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP). As MLV-based retroviral vectors have a propensity to be silenced by trans-acting factors and DNA methylation, we used murine stem cell virus (MSCV) and lentivirus vectors that have diminished silencing [37,38]. Use of the GFP marker permitted the acute effects of Rb to be observed in the absence of antibiotic selection of transduced cells, and permitted the efficiency of transduction to be determined prior to biochemical analysis. These studies demonstrate that several of the Rb effects that have been observed in other cell types are also manifested in retinoblastoma cells. In addition, they identify an Rb-regulated gene that may have a crucial role in retinal cell proliferation.

Results

Restoration of Rb to Y79 cells using the MSCV-GFP retroviral vector

In initial experiments, Y79 cells were transduced with the MSCV-GFP vector or derivatives encoding wild type or mutant Rb (Figure 1A). In these constructs, Rb was expressed from the MSCV LTR and GFP from a PGK promoter 3' to the *RB1* cDNA. The versions of Rb examined included the wild type (Rb^{WT}), the tumor-derived mutants Rb^{Δ21} and Rb^{Δ22} [39], a low penetrance mutant (Rb^{661W}) that retains partial tumor suppressor function but lacks the ability to regulate E2F1 [40], and a mutant (Rb^{76t}) with a premature termination codon at amino acid 76 [41].

Viral supernatants were combined with Y79 cells, and infection monitored by flow cytometry. GFP+ cells were first detected ~30 h after infection, and peaked at 44 h, typically in 10–20% of cells. At three days after infection, western blotting indicated that similar levels of Rb^{WT} and Rb^{Δ21}, and slightly higher levels of Rb^{661W}, were produced (Figure 1B).

Antiproliferative effect of retrovirus-transduced Rb

To determine whether restoring Rb with the MSCV-GFP vector affected Y79 proliferation, the percentage of GFP+ cells was determined at various times after infection and normalized to that at 44 h (Figure 1C). The proportion of GFP+ cells remained constant in cultures transduced with MSCV-GFP, indicating that transduced and untransduced cells proliferated at similar rates. In contrast, the proportion of GFP+ cells rapidly declined after transduction with MSCV-GFP-Rb^{WT}, suggesting that proliferation of Rb-transduced cells was impaired.

Cultures that were transduced with the low penetrance mutant Rb^{661W} and the tumor-derived mutants $Rb^{\Delta 21}$ and $Rb^{\Delta 22}$ displayed an intermediate decline in GFP+ cells (Figure 1C and data not shown). To determine whether this decline required expression of mutant Rb protein,



Figure I

Effect of retroviral Rb transduction on Y79 proliferation. A. Structure of the MSCV-GFP retroviral vector and Rb derivatives. Rb is expressed from the MSCV LTR, and GFP from a PGK promoter. **B**. Western analysis of Rb expression 3 days after infection. **C**, **D**. Prevalence of GFP+ cells after infection with the indicated vectors, relative to that at 44 h after infection, displayed as a time course (C) or at day 12 for a separate experiment (D). **E**. Effect of Rb on cell cycle distribution 84 h after infection. **F**. Effect of Rb on cell size. Cells infected with MSCV-GFP or MSCV-GFP-Rb were identified by GFP fluorescence and stained for Rb (left) or measured for forward scatter (FSC) by flow cytometry (right). For C-E, infections were in triplicate, data points represent averages, and error bars indicate standard deviation.

Y79 cells were transduced with MSCV-GFP-Rb^{76t}, which encodes a truncated and apparently unstable product [41]. As Rb^{76t}-transduced cultures displayed a similar decline in GFP+ cells (Figure 1D), the decline observed with each mutant was likely due to a *cis* effect of *RB1* sequences that resulted in silencing of *GFP* expression.

To better define the anti-proliferative effect of Rb^{WT}, transduced cultures were stained with propidium iodide and the cell cycle profile of GFP+ cells examined. Rb^{WT}, but not Rb^{661W} or Rb^{Δ 21}, increased the proportion of GFP+ cells in G0/G1 and reduced the proportions in S and G2/ M (Figure 1E). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that restoration of Rb alters the cell cycle profile of retinoblastoma cells. Rb^{WT} but none of the Rb mutants also increased Y79 cell size, as measured by immunocytochemical staining and the flow cytometry forward scatter parameter (Figure 1F and data not shown).

Culture of Rb-transduced cells selects for low Rb expression

In cultures that were transduced with MSCV-GFP-RbWT, the proportion of GFP+ cells declined for two weeks, but then remained constant (Figure 1C), indicating that the remaining GFP+ cells proliferated at the same rate as uninfected cells. To determine whether such cells were selected for decreased Rb, we examined Rb expression in cell populations that were equivalent to the GFP+ cells in MSCV-GFP-Rb transduced cultures, but which expressed puromycin resistance instead of the GFP marker. To do so, Y79 cells were infected with the MSCV-Puro vector or derivatives encoding RbWT or Rb661W, and selected with puromycin. After selection, cells that were transduced with MSCV-Puro-RbWT, but not those transduced with MSCV-Puro-Rb^{661W}, had markedly reduced Rb protein (Figure 2A, B) and RB1 RNA (Figure 2C). Moreover, when the MSCV-Puro-RbWT-transduced and puromycin-selected cells were re-infected with MSCV-GFP-RbWT, they displayed a rapid decline in the proportion of GFP+ cells, similar to that of the parental Y79 and vector-transduced controls (Figure 2D). This confirmed that Y79 cells that proliferate after Rb transduction remain sensitive to Rb, but fail to arrest due to their low Rb levels.

Restoration of Rb using concentrated retroviral and lentiviral vectors

We next sought to quantitatively restore Rb to Y79 cells, in order to define Rb's biochemical effects. As concentrated MSCV-GFP-Rb preparations infected at most ~40% of Y79 cells, transduced cells were further enriched by FACS, to yield populations that were \geq 97% GFP+. As a second approach, we developed a lentiviral vector to express Rb and GFP, termed <u>B</u>idirectional-<u>E</u>F1 α -<u>GFP</u> (BE-GFP, Figure 3A). A bidirectional promoter was used to tightly link Rb and GFP expression, and thus minimize production of GFP+ cells that have little or no Rb. Concentrated BE-GFP vector and Rb derivatives were generally of sufficient titer to transduce >94% of Y79 cells (Figure 3B). This permitted analysis of Rb effects without further cell isolation.

Antiproliferative effect of lentivirus-transduced Rb^{WT} and Rb^{661W}

At 60 h after lentiviral transduction, Rb^{WT} induced an accumulation in G0/G1 similar to that induced with retroviral transduction (Figure 3C). Lentiviral transduction of Rb^{661W} also induced an accumulation in G0/G1, though to a lesser extent than Rb^{WT} . The ability of Rb^{661W} to elicit a cell cycle block after lentiviral but not retroviral transduction was most likely due to higher levels of Rb^{661W} obtained with the *EF1* α promoter and a higher multiplicity of infection.

Rb^{WT} and Rb^{661W} were previously found to inhibit osteosarcoma cell proliferation by inducing a post-transcriptional increase in p27^{KIP1} [13,14]. Similarly, Rb^{WT} and Rb^{661W} induced p27 in Y79 cells, at levels that were in proportion to Rb protein expression (Figure 3D). To determine whether p27 might mediate the Rb-induced proliferative arrest, we compared the effects of ectopic Rb and ectopic p27, using the same lentiviral vector to express each protein. Lentiviral p27 transduction resulted in higher p27 levels, but caused less of an accumulation in G0/G1, as compared to lentiviral Rb (Figure 4). This implies that p27 does not fully mediate the Rb-induced G0/G1 arrest.

Effect of Rb on gene expression

Rb is thought to inhibit proliferation, in part, by repressing E2F-regulated cell cycle genes and promoting expression of cell type-specific differentiation genes. Thus, we performed a microarray analysis to assess Rb's effects on E2F-responsive genes and to identify additional Rb-regulated genes in retinoblastoma cells. RNA was obtained from FACS-purified GFP+ Y79 cells at 60 h after transduction with MSCV-GFP or MSCV-GFP-RbWT, and analyzed by Affymetrix GeneChip. Among well-described E2Fresponsive genes, CDC2,CDK2, cyclin E2, polo-like kinase (PLK), and p107 (RBL1) were scored as more than 2-fold repressed, whereas others had comparatively little change (Table 1). Of these, cyclin E2 and p107 are among the small subset of E2F-responsive genes that typically require Rb for normal regulation [11,42-44]. Rb had little effect on cyclin E1, despite that Rb is required for normal cyclin *E1* regulation in other cell types [44,45].

We further examined the gene expression effects of Rb and Rb mutants at 60 h after transduction with the BE-GFP lentiviral vector. In quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR analyses, BE-GFP-Rb^{WT} diminished *cyclin E2* expression by ~90% and decreased *cyclin E1* by ~50%,



Long-term culture of Rb-transduced cells selects for low Rb expression. A. Rb expression in Y79 cells 3 days after transduction with MSCV-GFP and Rb derivatives (lanes 1-3), or in puromycin resistant Y79 cells 26 days after transduction with MSCV-Puro and Rb derivatives (lanes 4-6). Lysates from infected cultures were combined with mockinfected cell lysates to normalize the proportion of GFP+ cells. B. Rb expression after transduction with the indicated MSCV-Puro viruses and puromycin selection, at 19 days (lanes 1, 3, and 5) and 26 days (lanes 2, 4, and 6) after infection. For **A** and **B**, actin expression is displayed as a loading control. C. RT-PCR analysis of RB1 and GAPDH mRNA in puromycin resistant cells after infection with the indicated viruses, with PCR for 22, 26, and 30 cycles. D. Prevalence of GFP+ cells at 12 days relative to that at 44 h after infection of Y79 cells, or after infection of puromycin-selected Y79 derivatives that were earlier infected with MSCV-Puro or MSCV-Puro-Rb.

whereas Rb^{Δ21} and Rb^{76t} derivatives had little or no effect (Figure 5A). The greater effect of Rb^{WT} on *cyclin E* expression after lentiviral transduction and qRT-PCR analysis, compared to retroviral transduction and microarray analysis, may be due to the higher Rb levels expressed from the BE-GFP vector as well as methodological differences. BE-GFP-Rb^{661W} also down regulated *cyclin E1* and *cyclin E2* by ~50%. The Rb^{WT} and Rb^{661W} effects appeared to be specific, as Rb did not repress the control gene, *HSP70A1B*.

We next sought to identify additional Rb-regulated genes. One of the most strongly down-regulated genes in the microarray analysis was Brn-2 (POU3F2), with a ~20-fold reduction in signal (Table 1). This effect was confirmed with qRT-PCR analysis of lentivirus-transduced cells, in which RbWT repressed Brn-2 by ~90% (Figure 5A). Moreover, Rb^{661W} down-regulated Brn-2 by 50%, while Rb^{Δ21} and Rb76t had no effect. Rb's regulation of Brn-2 was of interest because Brn-2 functions as an oncogene in melanoma cells and is implicated in the control of Chx10 and other genes that are expressed in RPCs [46,47]. To determine whether this effect indirectly resulted from Rbinduced cell cycle arrest or p27 expression, we examined Brn-2 expression after p27 transduction. Ectopic p27 increased the proportion of cells in G0/G1 (Figure 4B), but induced rather than diminished Brn-2 expression (Figure 5B). This implies that Rb does not repress Brn-2 through its induction of p27, nor by inducing a G0/G1 arrest.

In summary, Rb not only down regulated E2F-responsive genes, which are thought to have general, cell type-independent functions. Rb also down regulated *Brn-2*, which is particularly important to the regulation of gene expression in RPCs.

Discussion

Retinoblastoma has long served as a paradigm for cancers that develop due to the loss of a tumor suppressor protein [48]. However, despite that the Rb tumor suppressor was identified 20 years ago, the means by which Rb suppresses this tumor have not been established. In the current study, we examined the effect of restoring Rb to retinoblastoma cells. Our rationale was that Rb might perform functions in retinoblastoma cells that it does not display in other available cell types, and which may relate to Rb's role in the retinoblastoma cell of origin. As the retinoblastoma cell of origin has not been identified, and cell types that are candidates for the cell of origin are not easily manipulated, retinoblastoma cells may serve as the best available setting in which to detect Rb functions that specifically relate to the suppression of this tumor.

Earlier attempts to define Rb's effects in retinoblastoma cells may have been hampered by an inability to effi-



Lentiviral transduction of Y79 with BE-GFP-Rb. A. Structure of BE-GFP-Rb^{WT}. Rb and GFP are expressed from a bidirectional promoter consisting of *EF1* α enhancer + promoter (light blue) and a minimal *CMV* promoter (dark blue) that requires a heterologous enhancer for activity [56]. **B**. Flow cytometric analysis of GFP expression in transduced cultures at 56 h after infection. Numbers indicate the percent GFP+ cells. **C**. Y79 cell cycle profiles 60 h after mock infection or transduction with the indicated BE-GFP viruses. **D**. Western analysis of Rb, p27, and α -actin 60 h after mock infection (lane 1) or infection with the indicated BE-GFP viruses (lanes 2–6).



Comparison of Rb and p27 cell cycle effect. A. Y79 cells were mock infected (lane I) or infected with BE-GFP, BE-GFP-Rb, or BE-GFP-p27 (lanes 2–4); and examined by western blotting for p27 expression, and for actin expression as a loading control. **B.** Infected cells were stained with propidium iodide and examined for cell cycle position.

ciently express Rb and thus examine its acute effects. The current study surmounted this obstacle by marking the Rb expression vectors with GFP. This approach revealed that Rb inhibited proliferation, induced a G0/G1 arrest, and increased cell size, similar to Rb's effects in other cell types. Moreover, the studies showed that Rb's anti-proliferative effect was masked when analyzed several weeks after infection, due to the outgrowth of cells that had low Rb levels. This implies that prior studies that failed to demonstrate an antiproliferative effect of Rb after a selection period may have analyzed cells that had ineffective levels or Rb expression. The firm demonstration that Rb inhibits retinoblastoma proliferation validates efforts to restore Rb function as a therapeutic approach [49]. However, our results also revealed that a threshold level of Rb was required to inhibit Y79 proliferation *in vitro*. Whether a similar Rb level is needed to inhibit growth of retinoblastoma tumors *in vivo* remains to be established.

The Rb-induced G0/G1 arrest was associated with increased expression of p27 and decreased expression of E2F-responsive genes, as previously observed in other cell types. In osteosarcoma cells, the induction of p27 preceded the decline in proteins encoded by E2F-responsive genes, and was needed for Rb to rapidly induce a cell cycle block [14]. To date, it has not been possible to determine whether the up-regulation of p27 is required for Rb to arrest Y79 cells, as p27 knockdown did not preclude the induction of p27 by Rb (data not shown). However, our finding that Rb was more effective than p27 in inducing a G0/G1 arrest suggests that Rb inhibits Y79 proliferation at least in part through a p27-independent process, such as by repressing cyclin E2 and other E2F-responsive genes. Notably, the low penetrance mutant Rb661W also induced a G0/G1 block, p27 expression, and down-regulation of cyclin E, albeit to a lesser extent than RbWT. This is consistent with the ability of Rb661W to induce a proliferative arrest via p27 in osteosarcoma cells [14], and with evidence that Rb661W may regulate E2F-responsive genes through an interaction with E2F2 [50].

In addition to Rb's effect on E2F-regulated genes, Rb strongly repressed *Brn-2*. This effect is notable in light of evidence that *Brn-2* is highly expressed small cell lung cancers [51], which like retinoblastomas generally lack Rb [39], and in light of evidence that *Brn-2* functions as an oncogene in melanoma cells [46,52]. Thus, the finding that Rb represses *Brn-2* raises the possibility that deregulation of *Brn-2* contributes to retinoblastoma tumorigenesis.

During retinal development, Brn-2 is expressed in intermediate and late RPCs as well as in certain post-mitotic cells [47]. Brn-2 may have a widespread transcriptional role in RPCs, as it binds the promoters of the characteristic RPC genes, Chx10 and Nestin, and may bind related promoter sites in Cyclin D1 and Pax6 [47]. In cortical development, Brn-2 is similarly expressed in late progenitors, and is required in combination with Brn-1 for late progenitor cell proliferation [53]. These findings suggest that Brn-2 may promote the expression of genes that maintain late progenitor cell proliferation, and that deregulation of Brn-2 in response to Rb loss may elicit aberrant expression of such genes, increased proliferation, and retinoblastoma tumorigenesis. Alternatively, as Rb is also expressed in post-mitotic retinal cells [29], Rb-mediated repression of Brn-2 may contribute to the suppression of retinoblastoma in post-mitotic retinal precursors.

Gene	Probe Set Accession #	Fold Change	
<u>Controls</u>			
GAPDH	M33197_M_at	0.92	
	M33197_3_at	0.91	
	M33197_5_at	0.82	
β-actin	200801_x_at	1.07	
E2E-responsive			
CDC2	203214 x at	0.53	
	210559 s at	0.63	
	210357_s_at	0.03	
	201514 at	0.70	
CDC254	201495 at	0.40	
CDCZSA	20 1 875_at	0.80	
CDC25B	1555772_a_at	0.78	
	201035_{a}	0.99	
	205167_s_at	0.89	
	204252_at	0.38*	
Cyclin AZ	203418_at	0.61	
Cyclin B1	214/10_s_at	0.74	
	228/29_at	0.76	
Cyclin B2	202705_at	0.76	
Cyclin EI	213523_at	0.92	
Cyclin E2	211814_s_at	0.37*	
	205034_at	0.61	
E2F1	204947_at	0.92	
	2028_s_at	0.67	
E2F2	228361_at	0.56	
E2F3	203692_s_at	1.31	
	203693_s_at	1.02	
EMII (FBXO5)	218875_s_at	0.66	
	234863_x_at	0.55	
Geminin	218350_s_at	0.63	
HPRT	202854_at	0.65	
N-myc	209757_s_at	1.06	
PCNA	201202_at	0.90	
PLK	214372_x_at	0.80	
	202240_at	0.42*	
RbL1 (þ107)	1555004 a at	0.44*	
Ribonuc. Reductase M I	20 477 s at	0.65	
	201476 s at	0.50	
Ribonuc, Reductase M2	209773 s at	0.74	
SKP2	210567 s at	1.16	
	203626 s at	0.77	
Thymidlyate Synthase	202589_at	0.74	
	1554696 s at	0.57	
	242102 at	0.99	
Inginiane Nilase	1554408 a at	0.82	
	1337700_a_au 202328_at	0.52	
	202330_at	0.37	
Novel			
Brn-2 (POU3F2)	207084_at	0.05**	

Table 1: Gene expression effects of retroviral Rb transduction

Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 microarrays were hybridized with probes from vector- and Rb-transduced cells and analyzed with Affymetrix Microarray Suite software. The indicated probe sets were flagged as "Present" in vector transduced samples, and showed the indicated fold change in signal for Rb-transduced versus vector-transduced samples. Asterisks indicate probe sets with fold change less than 0.5. The double asterisk indicates one of the most strongly down-regulated genes in the microarray analysis.

Conclusion

Rb was expressed in Y79 cells using novel retroviral and lentiviral vectors. Rb induced a G0/G1 arrest, expression

of p27^{KIP1}, and repression of E2F-responsive genes such as *cyclin E1* and *cyclin E2*, similar to Rb's effects in other cell types. In addition, Rb decreased expression of *Brn-2*,



Gene expression effects of Rb and p27. cDNA was prepared from cells at 60 h after infection with the BE-GFP vector and **A**) the indicated Rb derivatives, or **B**) either Rb^{WT} or p27 derivatives. The cDNA was subjected to qRT-PCR for the indicated genes. Expression levels were normalized to β -actin and are displayed relative to that of vector-transduced samples. Values indicate averages of duplicate analyses and error bars indicate standard deviation.

which selectively regulates gene expression in RPCs and related cells. Thus, in addition to Rb's cell type-independent effects, Rb regulates genes that control transcription in the developing retina.

Methods

DNA constructs

MSCV-GFP was constructed by replacing the HindIII-ClaI fragment of MSCV-Puro (Clontech) with a HindIII-NotI fragment from pEGFP-N2 (Clontech). To produce MSCV-GFP derivatives, BamHI-StuI fragments from pSVE-hRB^{WT}, pSVE-hRB^{Δ22} [54], pSVE-hRB^{661W} [DC unpublished data, 40], and pSVE-Rb^{76t} [41] were inserted to the BglII-HpaI sites of MSCV-GFP. Similarly, MSCV-Puro-Rb^{WT} was produced by inserting the pSVE-hRB^{WT} BamHI-

Stul fragment in the MSCV-Puro BglII-HpaI site. MSCV-GFP-Rb^{$\Delta 21$} was produced by replacing an MluI-MfeI fragment of MSCV-GFP-Rb^{WT} with the corresponding sequence from pGST-Rb^{$\Delta 21$} [55].

BE-GFP was produced by replacing the EcoRV-SalI fragment of MA1, containing a PGK-TrkA cassette [56], with the polylinker sequence 5'-GGGGCTAGCTCTA-GAACGCGTCGTACGACTCGAGTGTTTAAAC-3', and inserting an EF1 α promoter fragment between the polylinker NheI-XbaI sites. Rb^{WT}, Rb^{661W}, Rb^{Δ 21}, and Rb^{76t} cDNAs were transferred as BssHII-BsrGI fragments from MSCV-GFP to the BE-GFP MluI-BsiWI sites. p27^{KIP1} cDNA was transferred as a KpnI-XbaI fragment from cDNA₃-p27 (kindly provided by A. Koff) to the corresponding BE-GFP sites.

Cell growth, virus production, infection, and Y79 sublines

Y79 cells were obtained from the ATCC and cultured in RPMI 1640, 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), penicillin, streptomycin, and L-glutamine, in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator. Retroviral supernatants used for direct infections were made by CaPO₄-mediated co-transfection of Bing producer cells with the indicated MSCV-GFP constructs and pCL-Ampho [57]. Concentrated retrovirus was produced by CaPO₄-mediated co-transfection of GP2 cells (Clontech) with MSCV-GFP constructs and pVSVg [58]. Lentivirus was produced using Lipofectamine 2000 (InVitrogen) to co-transfect 293T cells with the viral vector, pVSVg, and p Δ 8.91 DNAs [59]. Cell supernatants were passed through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate filters 48 h after transfection. Virus was concentrated by centrifugation at 25,000 rpm for 90 min in a SW28 rotor, and suspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 130 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA. For infections, Y79 cells were plated at 2 × 10⁶ cells per ml and combined with an equal volume of producer cell supernatants, or with up to 0.5 volume of concentrated virus, at 6 µg/ml polybrene. As MSCV-GFP titers were ~10-fold higher than for Rb derivatives, MSCV-GFP supernatants were diluted 10-fold prior to infection.

Cells transduced with MSCV-Puro or Rb derivatives were selected with 1.5 μ g/ml puromycin for 11 days, and reinfected with MSCV-GFP and Rb derivatives 20 days after the initial infection.

Analysis of infected cells

GFP expression and forward scatter were determined using a Becton-Dickenson FACSCaliber and CellQuest Software. Cell cycle positions of retrovirus-transduced cells were determined by fixing in 0.75% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min at 22°C, washing in PBS + 3% FCS, fixing in 70% ethanol at -20°C, staining in 0.05 mg/ml propidium iodide, 0.6% Nonidet-P40 in PBS, and 1 mg/ ml RNAse A, and gating on diploid GFP+ cells. Cell cycle positions of lentivirus-transduced cells were determined as above but without PFA fixation or GFP gating.

For immunofluorescent detection of Rb, Y79 cells were attached to poly-L-lysine coated slides, fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min and stained with anti-Rb antibody G3-245 (1:200, Becton-Dickenson) and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse. For immunoblotting, lysates were separated and immunoblotted to Hybond-P and probed using ECL-Advance (Amersham), with mouse anti-Rb G3-245 (1:1,200), rabbit anti-p27 (1:150, SantaCruz sc-528), and rabbit anti- α -actin (1:1,000, Sigma A2066). To compare Rb expression after retroviral infection, infected cell lysates were combined with mock-infected cell lysates to normalize for the proportion of GFP+ cells.

RB1 cDNA expressed following retroviral transduction was detected by RT-PCR with forward primer 5'-GCTT-GAGTTTGAAGAAACAGAAGAACC and reverse primer 5'-CTTTAGCTAATAAAAATGTGATCCAAGAAACTT, and with a GAPDH control.

Gene expression analysis

RNA was prepared 60 h after lentivirus infection or after retrovirus infection followed by FACS enrichment to >97% GFP+ cells. In the latter case, cells were suspended in Buffer RLT (Qiagen) within 10 min after sorting. RNA was prepared using RNeasy (Qiagen) including on-column DNAse digestion, and RNA quality confirmed by Agilent BioAnalyzer. For microarray analysis, 10 ng of each RNA was used to prepare probes with the Ovation Biotin Amplification System (Novogen), and probing Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 GeneChip. For real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), oligo dT-primed cDNA was prepared from 750 ng RNA and ImProm-II reverse transcriptase (Promega), and cDNA transcribed from 5 ng of RNA subjected to RT-qPCR using iTaq SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), and normalized to β -actin RNA measured by Taqman (Applied Biosystems). PCR primers were for cyclin E1 (forward 5'-CGTGCGTTTGCTTTTA-CAGA, reverse 5'-AGCACCTTCCATAGCAGCAT); cyclin E2 (forward 5'-CCTCCATTGTGAGATAAGGACA, reverse 5'-GCCTATGTACAGCAAGTTTTCA); Brn-2 (forward 5'-CAGAGAGATGGCAAGCACTG, 5'-TCAGreverse GAAGCTGCATTTTGTG); and HSP70A1B (forward 5'-5'-GCAG-CCGAGAAGGACGAGTTTGAG, reverse CAAAGTCCTTGAGTCC).

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

DC conceived the study, participated in its design, constructed lentiviral vectors, analyzed the effects of retroviral and lentiviral Rb transduction, and drafted the manuscript. ROF designed, constructed, and analyzed transduction by retroviral Rb vectors. DHA and TCL participated in the conception and design of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. L. Naldini, P. Hinds, W. Kaelin, F. Kaye, and A. Koff for DNA constructs, and Dr. Jenny Xiang of Weill Medical College Microarray Core Facility for assistance with microarray analysis. This work was supported by grants from the C.V. Starr Foundation, the Fred Gluck Foundation, Research to Prevent Blindness, and the New York Community Trust.

References

- I. DiCiommo D, Gallie BL, Bremner R: Retinoblastoma: the disease, gene and protein provide critical leads to understand cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 2000, 10:255-269.
- 2. Abramson DH, Schefler AC: Update on retinoblastoma. *Retina* 2004, 24:828-848.
- 3. Abramson DH, Gombos DS: The topography of bilateral retinoblastoma lesions. *Retina* 1996, 16:232-239.
- Abramson DH: Second nonocular cancers in retinoblastoma: a unified hypothesis. The Franceschetti Lecture. Ophthalmic Genet 1999, 20:193-204.
- Fugaro MN, Kiupel M, Montiani-Ferreira F, Hawkins JF, Janovitz EB: Retinoblastoma in the eye of a llama (Llama glama). Vet Ophthalmol 2005, 8:287-290.
- Syed NA, Nork TM, Poulsen GL, Riis RC, George C, Albert DM: Retinoblastoma in a dog. Arch Ophthalmol 1997, 115:758-763.
- Robanus-Maandag E, Dekker M, van der Valk M, Carrozza ML, Jeanny JC, Dannenberg JH, Berns A, te Riele H: p107 is a suppressor of retinoblastoma development in pRb-deficient mice. Genes Dev 1998, 12:1599-1609.
- MacPherson D, Sage J, Kim T, Ho D, McLaughlin ME, Jacks T: Cell type-specific effects of Rb deletion in the murine retina. *Genes Dev* 2004, 18:1681-1694.
- 9. Chen D, Livne-bar I, Vanderluit JL, Slack RS, Agochiya M, Bremner R: Cell-specific effects of RB or RB/p107 loss on retinal development implicate an intrinsically death-resistant cell-of-origin in retinoblastoma. *Cancer Cell* 2004, **5:**539-551.
- Zhang J, Schweers B, Dyer MA: The First Knockout Mouse Model of Retinoblastoma. Cell Cycle 2004, 3:952-959.
- 11. Cobrinik D: Pocket proteins and cell cycle control. Oncogene 2005, 24:2796-2809.
- Qin XQ, Livingston DM, Ewen M, Sellers WR, Arany Z, Kaelin WG Jr.: The transcription factor E2F-1 is a downstream target of RB action. *Mol Cell Biol* 1995, 15:742-755.
- Alexander K, Hinds PW: Requirement for p27(KIP1) in retinoblastoma protein-mediated senescence. Mol Cell Biol 2001, 21:3616-3631.
- Ji P, Jiang H, Rekhtman K, Bloom J, Ichetovkin M, Pagano M, Zhu L: An Rb-Skp2-p27 Pathway Mediates Acute Cell Cycle Inhibition by Rb and Is Retained in a Partial-Penetrance Rb Mutant. Mol Cell 2004, 16:47-58.
- Sellers WR, Novitch BG, Miyake S, Heith A, Otterson GA, Kaye FJ, Lassar AB, Kaelin WG: Stable binding to E2F is not required for the retinoblastoma protein to activate transcription, promote differentiation, and suppress tumor cell growth. *Genes* Dev 1998, 12:95-106.
- Maehara K, Yamakoshi K, Ohtani N, Kubo Y, Takahashi A, Arase S, Jones N, Hara E: Reduction of total E2F/DP activity induces senescence-like cell cycle arrest in cancer cells lacking functional pRB and p53. J Cell Biol 2005, 168:553-560.
- Xu HJ, Zhou Y, Ji W, Perng GS, Kruzelock R, Kong CT, Bast RC, Mills GB, Li J, Hu SX: Reexpression of the retinoblastoma protein in tumor cells induces senescence and telomerase inhibition. Oncogene 1997, 15:2589-2596.
- Gagrica S, Hauser S, Kolfschoten I, Osterloh L, Agami R, Gaubatz S: Inhibition of oncogenic transformation by mammalian Lin-9, a pRB-associated protein. *Embo J* 2004, 23:4627-4638.
- 19. Gutierrez GM, Kong E, Hinds PW: Master or slave: the complex relationship of RBP2 and pRb. *Cancer Cell* 2005, **7**:501-502.

- Takahashi C, Contreras B, Bronson RT, Loda M, Ewen ME: Genetic interaction between Rb and K-ras in the control of differentiation and tumor suppression. Mol Cell Biol 2004, 24:10406-10415.
- Thomas DM, Carty SA, Piscopo DM, Lee J, Wang W, Forrester WC, Hinds PW: The retinoblastoma protein acts as a transcriptional coactivator required for osteogenic differentiation. *Mol Cell* 2001, 8:303-316.
- Scime A, Grenier G, Huh MS, Gillespie MA, Bevilacqua L, Harper ME, Rudnicki MA: Rb and p107 regulate preadipocyte differentiation into white versus brown fat through repression of PGC-Ialpha. *Cell Metab* 2005, 2:283-295.
- Chen PL, Riley DJ, Chen Y, Lee WH: Retinoblastoma protein positively regulates terminal adipocyte differentiation through direct interaction with C/EBPs. Genes Dev 1996, 10:2794-2804.
- 24. Hansen JB, Jorgensen C, Petersen RK, Hallenborg P, De Matteis R, Boye HA, Petrovic N, Enerback S, Nedergaard J, Cinti S, te Riele H, Kristiansen K: Retinoblastoma protein functions as a molecular switch determining white versus brown adipocyte differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004, 101:4112-4117.
- Miccadei S, Provenzano C, Mojzisek M, Natali PG, Civitareale D: Retinoblastoma protein acts as Pax 8 transcriptional coactivator. Oncogene 2005, 24:6993-7001.
- Carreira S, Goodall J, Aksan I, La Rocca SA, Galibert MD, Denat L, Larue L, Goding CR: Mitf cooperates with Rb1 and activates p21Cip1 expression to regulate cell cycle progression. Nature 2005, 433:764-769.
- 27. Kyritsis AP, Tsokos M, Triche TJ, Chader GJ: Retinoblastoma--origin from a primitive neuroectodermal cell? Nature 1984, 307:471-473.
- Dyer MA, Bremner R: The search for the retinoblastoma cell of origin. Nat Rev Cancer 2005, 5:91-101.
- Lee TC, Almeida D, Claros N, Abramson DH, Cobrinik D: Cell Cycle-Specific and Cell Type-Specific Expression of Rb in the Developing Human Retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2006, 47:5590-5598.
- Huang HJS, Yee JK, Shew JY, Chen PL, Bookstein R, Friedman T, Lee EYHP, Lee WH: Suppression of the neoplastic phenotype by replacement of the RB gene in human cancer cells. Science 1988, 242:1563-1566.
- 31. Sumegi J, Uzvolgyi E, Klein G: Expression of the RB gene under the control of MuLV-LTR suppresses tumorigenicity of WERI-Rb-27 retinoblastoma cells in immunodefective mice. *Cell Growth Differ* 1990, 1:247-250.
- Xu HJ, Sumegi J, Hu SX, Banerjee A, Uzvolgyi E, Klein G, Benedict WF: Intraocular tumor formation of RB reconstituted retinoblastoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1991, 51:4481-4485.
- Madreperla SA, Whittum-Hudson JA, Prendergast RA, Chen PL, Lee WH: Intraocular tumor suppression of retinoblastoma genereconstituted retinoblastoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1991, 51:6381-6384.
- Chen PL, Chen Y, Shan B, Bookstein R, Lee WH: Stability of retinoblastoma gene expression determines the tumorigenicity of reconstituted retinoblastoma cells. *Cell Growth Differ* 1992, 3:119-125.
- 35. Muncaster MM, Cohen BL, Phillips RA, Gallie BL: Failure of RBI to reverse the malignant phenotype of human tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1992, **52:**654-661.
- Banerjee A, Xu HJ, Hu SX, Araujo D, Takahashi R, Stanbridge EJ, Benedict WF: Changes in growth and tumorigenicity following reconstitution of retinoblastoma gene function in various human cancer cell types by microcell transfer of chromosome 13. Cancer Res 1992, 52:6297-6304.
- Cherry SR, Biniszkiewicz D, van Parijs L, Baltimore D, Jaenisch R: Retroviral expression in embryonic stem cells and hematopoietic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 2000, 20:7419-7426.
- Pfeifer A, Ikawa M, Dayn Y, Verma IM: Transgenesis by lentiviral vectors: lack of gene silencing in mammalian embryonic stem cells and preimplantation embryos. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002, 99:2140-2145.
- Horowitz JM, Park SH, Bogenmann E, Cheng JC, Yandell DW, Kaye FJ, Minna JD, Dryja TP, Weinberg RA: Frequent inactivation of the retinoblastoma anti-oncogene is restricted to a subset of human tumor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1990, 87:2775-2779.
- 40. Otterson GA, Chen W, Coxon AB, Khleif SN, Kaye FJ: Incomplete penetrance of familial retinoblastoma linked to germ-line

mutations that result in partial loss of **RB** function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997, **94**:12036-12040.

- Hinds PW, Mittnacht S, Dulic V, Arnold A, Reed SI, Weinberg RA: Regulation of retinoblastoma protein functions by ectopic expression of human cyclins. *Cell* 1992, **70**:993-1006.
- Gudas JM, Payton M, Thukral S, Chen E, Bass M, Robinson MO, Coats S: Cyclin E2, a novel GI cyclin that binds Cdk2 and is aberrantly expressed in human cancers. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999, 19:612-622.
- Hurford RK, Cobrinik D, Lee MH, Dyson N: pRB and p107/p130 are required for the regulated expression of different sets of E2F-responsive genes. Genes Dev 1997, 11:1447-1463.
- 44. Mulligan GJ, Wong J, Jacks T: p130 is dispensable in peripheral T lymphocytes: evidence for functional compensation by p107 and pRB. *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, 18:206-220.
- Herrera RE, Sah VP, Williams BO, Makela TP, Weinberg RA, Jacks T: Altered cell cycle kinetics, gene expression, and GI restriction point regulation in Rb-deficient fibroblasts. Mol Cell Biol 1996, 16:2402-2407.
- Goodall J, Wellbrock C, Dexter TJ, Roberts K, Marais R, Goding CR: The Brn-2 transcription factor links activated BRAF to melanoma proliferation. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004, 24:2923-2931.
- Rowan S, Cepko CL: A POU factor binding site upstream of the Chx10 homeobox gene is required for Chx10 expression in subsets of retinal progenitor cells and bipolar cells. Dev Biol 2005, 281:240-255.
- Knudson A: Retinoblastoma: teacher of cancer biology and medicine. PLoS Med 2005, 2:e349.
- Nikitin AY, Juarez-Perez MI, Li S, Huang L, Lee WH: RB-mediated suppression of spontaneous multiple neuroendocrine neoplasia and lung metastases in Rb+/- mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96:3916-3921.
- Benevolenskaya EV, Murray HL, Branton P, Young RA, Kaelin WG Jr.: Binding of pRB to the PHD protein RBP2 promotes cellular differentiation. *Mol Cell* 2005, 18:623-635.
- Schreiber E, Himmelmann A, Malipiero U, Tobler A, Stahel R, Fontana A: Human small cell lung cancer expresses the octamer DNA-binding and nervous system-specific transcription factor N-Oct 3 (brain-2). Cancer Res 1992, 52:6121-6124.
 Thomson JA, Murphy K, Baker E, Sutherland GR, Parsons PG, Sturm
- Thomson JA, Murphy K, Baker E, Sutherland GR, Parsons PG, Sturm RA, Thomson F: The brn-2 gene regulates the melanocytic phenotype and tumorigenic potential of human melanoma cells. Oncogene 1995, 11:691-700.
- Sugitani Y, Nakai S, Minowa O, Nishi M, Jishage K, Kawano H, Mori K, Ogawa M, Noda T: Brn-1 and Brn-2 share crucial roles in the production and positioning of mouse neocortical neurons. *Genes Dev* 2002, 16:1760-1765.
- Templeton DJ, Park SH, Lanier L, Weinberg RA: Nonfunctional mutants of the retinoblastoma protein are characterized by defects in phosphorylation, viral oncoprotein association, and nuclear tethering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991, 88:3033-3037.
- 55. Kaelin WG Jr., Pallas DC, DeCaprio JA, Kaye FJ, Livingston DM: Identification of cellular proteins that can interact specifically with the T/EIA-binding region of the retinoblastoma gene product. *Cell* 1991, **64**:521-532.
- Amendola M, Venneri MA, Biffi A, Vigna E, Naldini L: Coordinate dual-gene transgenesis by lentiviral vectors carrying synthetic bidirectional promoters. Nat Biotechnol 2005, 23:108-116.
- Naviaux RK, Costanzi E, Haas M, Verma IM: The pCL vector system: rapid production of helper-free, high-titer, recombinant retroviruses. *J Virol* 1996, 70:5701-5705.
- Burns JC, Friedmann T, Driever W, Burrascano M, Yee JK: Vesicular stomatitis virus G glycoprotein pseudotyped retroviral vectors: concentration to very high titer and efficient gene transfer into mammalian and nonmammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1993, 90:8033-8037.
- Zufferey R, Nagy D, Mandel RJ, Naldini L, Trono D: Multiply attenuated lentiviral vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 1997, 15:871-875.